

APPENDIX THREE DELEGATION EVALUATION CHECKLIST

Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions

Checklist for the review of a request for delegation of plan making functions

Local Government Area:

LITHGOW CITY COUNCIL L.C.A.

Name of draft LEP:

LITHGOW LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN (AMENDMENT NO 3)

Address of Land (if applicable)

88 PIPERS FLAT ROAD WALLERAWANG NSW. 2790

LOT 4 D.P. 407106

Intent of draft LEP:

TO AMEND LITHGOW LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2014 TO
ENABLE LOT 4 D.P. 407106 TO BE FURTHER SUBDIVIDED INTO RESIDENTIAL
LOTS AS FOLLOWS (A) AMEND THE LAND ZONE FROM RS - R2 (B) AMEND LOT
SIZE FROM 2HA - 800m².

Additional Supporting Point/Information:

ATTACHED PLANNING PROPOSAL

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation

(NOTE – where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirements has been met, council is to attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council Response		Department Assessment	
	Y/N	Not Relevant	Agree	Disagree
Is the planning proposal consistent with the Standard instrument Order, 2006?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?	Y			
Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain details relate to proposed consultation?	Y			
Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning stagey or a local strategy endorsed but the Secretary?	Y			
Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with relevant S117 Planning Directions?	Y			
Is the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?	Y			
Minor Mapping Error Amendments				
Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly identify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed?	N			
Heritage LEPs				
Does the planning seek to add or remove a local heritage item and is it supported by a strategy/ study endorsed by the Heritage Office?	N			
Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage office if there is no supporting strategy/study?	N			
Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of Stage Heritage Significance and if so, the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?	N			
Reclassifications				
Is there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?	N	N/A		

If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or other strategy?	N	N/A		
Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?	N	N/A		
Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or strategy related to the site?	N	N/A		
Has Council confirmed whether there are any trusts, estates, interests, dedications, conditions, restrictions or covenants on the public land and included a copy of the title with the planning proposal?	N	N/A		
Has council confirmed that there will be no change or extinguishment of interests and that the proposal does not require the Governor's approval?	N	N/A		
Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the Department's Practice Note regarding <i>classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan and Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land</i> ?	N	N/A		
Has the council acknowledged in its planning proposal that a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one as part of its documentation?	N	N/A		
Spot Rezoning				
Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?	N			
Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has been identified following the conversion of a principal LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?	N			
Will the planning proposal deal with a previously deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it provide enough information to explain how the issue that lead to the deferral has been addressed?	N			
If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?		N/A		
Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?	N			